

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,949	10/30/2003	Steve Crane	7370/80860	6252
	7590 01/09/2007 TABIN & FLANNERY	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 18415			STAICOVICI, STEFAN	
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	1732	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D/	AYS	01/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/695,949	CRANE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stefan Staicovici	1732				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON  tte, cause the application to become Al	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this of the standard of the stan	,,			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	July 2005	•				
· —		is action is non-final.					
3)□	,—						
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims			•			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1.10 and 21-38 is/are pending in the	e application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1,10 and 21-38 are subject to restrict	ction and/or election require	ment.				
Applicat	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner					
	•		by the Examiner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			* *			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	ın priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	5 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			Stage			
	application from the International Burea			Ü			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachmen	tic)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intension 6	Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of I	nformal Patent Application —.				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1 and 10, drawn to a molding process, classified in class 264, subclass511.
- II. Claims 21-38, drawn to a molding system, classified in class 425, subclass 129.1.The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions Group I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as an injection molding process of a resin into a mold without a fiberglass preform that does not require a vacuum.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve

a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated

as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the

evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stefan Staicovici, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1208. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stefan Staicovici, PhD

**Primary Examiner** 

AU 1732

January 4, 2007